

1 Mary C. Richardson (Bar No. 208586)
2 Port Attorney
mrichrdson@portoakland.com
3 Kimberly I. McIntyre (Bar No. 184648)
4 Deputy Port Attorney
kmcintyre@portoakland.com
PORT OF OAKLAND
5 530 Water Street
Oakland, California 94607
6 Tel: (510) 627-1572 / (510) 627-1205

7 Eugene M. Pak (Bar No. 168699)
epak@fennemorelaw.com
FENNEMORE WENDEL
8 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor
Oakland, California 94607
9 Tel: (510) 834-6600 / Fax: (510) 834-1928

10 Stephen C. Willey (Bar No. 209164)
swilley@fennemorelaw.com
11 Brandi B. Baland (Pro Hac Vice)
bbaland@fennemorelaw.com
12 Christopher J. Lindemeier (Pro Hac Vice)
clindemeier@fennemorelaw.com
FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
13 1425 Fourth Avenue, Suite 800
Seattle, Washington 98101
14 Tel: (206) 749-0500 / Fax: (206) 749-0500

15
16 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant City of
17 Oakland, a municipal corporation, acting by and
through its Board of Port Commissioners (Port of
Oakland)

18
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21
22 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO,

23 Plaintiff,

24 v.

25 CITY OF OAKLAND AND PORT OF
26 OAKLAND,

27 Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-cv-02311-TSH

**PORT OF OAKLAND'S [PROPOSED]
ORDER DENYING CITY'S MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Date: November 7, 2024
Time: 10:00 AM
Courtroom: E – 15th Floor
Trial Date: (None Set)

1
2 CITY OF OAKLAND, A MUNICIPAL
3 CORPORATION, ACTING BY AND
4 THROUGH ITS BOARD OF PORT
COMMISSIONERS (PORT OF OAKLAND),
5

Counterclaimant,

6 v.
7 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO,
8

Counterclaim Defendant.

9
10 The Court, having considered Plaintiff City and County of San Francisco's Motion for
11 Preliminary Injunction (the "Motion"), the Declarations and exhibits filed in support thereof,
12 the Opposition to the Motion filed by Defendant City of Oakland, a Municipal Corporation,
13 acting by and through its Board of Port Commissioners, the Declarations and exhibits filed in
14 support thereof, the Complaint, Answer and Counterclaims, all other papers and briefing
15 submitted in reply to or in support of the Opposition, and the parties' respective argument at the
16 hearing on this matter, and good cause appearing, **THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS** as
17 follows: **THE MOTION IS DENIED.**

18
19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated: _____, 2024.

By: _____
Magistrate Judge Thomas S. Hixson